arXiv:math/9908115v1 [math.QA] 20 Aug 1999

Twisted traces of intertwiners for Kac-Moody algebras and classical dynamical r-matrices corresponding to generalized Belavin-Drinfeld triples

Pavel Etingof and Olivier Schiffmann

1 Introduction

In early eighties, Belavin and Drinfeld [BD] classified nonskewsymmetric classical r-matrices for simple Lie algebras. It turned out that such r-matrices, up to isomorphism and twisting by elements from the exterior square of the Cartan subalgebra, are classified by rather unusual combinatorial objects which are now called Belavin-Drinfeld triples. By definition, a Belavin-Drinfeld triple for a simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is a triple (Γ_1, Γ_2, T), where Γ_1, Γ_2 are subsets of the Dynkin diagram Γ of $\mathfrak{g}$, and $T : \Gamma_1 \to \Gamma_2$ is an isomorphism of Dynkin diagrams, which satisfies the nilpotency condition: if $\alpha \in \Gamma_1$ then there exists k such that $T^{k-1}(\alpha) \in \Gamma_1$ but $T^k(\alpha) \notin \Gamma_1$. The r-matrix corresponding to such a triple is given by a certain explicit formula. This formula works not only for simple finite dimensional Lie algebras but in fact for any symmetrizable Kac-Moody algebra.

In [S], the second author generalized the work of Belavin and Drinfeld and classified classical nonskewsymmetric *dynamical* r-matrices for simple Lie algebras. It turns out that they have an even simpler classification: up to gauge transformations, they are classified by generalized Belavin Drinfeld triples, which are defined as the usual Belavin-Drinfeld triples but without any nilpotency condition. The dynamical r-matrix corresponding to such a triple is given by a certain explicit formula, which, as before, works not only for simple finite dimensional Lie algebras but in fact for any symmetrizable Kac-Moody algebra.

This includes some well known examples: if $T = id$ one gets Felder's dynamical r-matrix, and if $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type $\hat{A}_{n-1}$ (i.e. the Dynkin diagram is an n-gon) and T is the rotation of the n-gon by an angle $2\pi k/n$ where k is prime to n then one gets Belavin's elliptic r-matrix.

G.Felder [F] associated to every classical dynamical r-matrix, a remarkable system of differential equations called the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov-Bernard (KZB) equations. For the Felder and the Belavin r-matrix these equations have a representation-theoretical interpretation. Namely, the KZB equations with the Felder r-matrix are satisfied by conformal blocks for the Wess-Zumino-Witten (WZW) model of conformal field theory on elliptic curves (or, equivalently, weighted traces of products of intertwining operators) [B],[F], and the KZB equations with the Belavin r-matrix are satisfied by conformal blocks for the WZW model twisted by the rotation of the Dynkin diagram [E], [KT]. It is therefore natural to expect that a similar interpretation exists for all dynamical r-matrices.

In this paper, we propose such an interpretation. Namely, for any Kac-Moody algebra and a (nondegenerate) generalized BD triple we show that weighted traces of products of intertwining operators, "twisted" by T, satisfy the KZB equations with the corresponding dynamical r-matrix from [S].

We consider two cases: operators with values in representations from category $\mathcal{O}$, and operators with values in finite dimensional representations for affine Lie algebras. In the first case we get the KZB equations for trigonometric dynamical r-matrices corresponding to generalized Belavin-Drinfeld triples for Kac-Moody algebras, and in the second case we get the KZB equations for elliptic dynamical r-matrices which are intermediate between Felder's and Belavin's elliptic r-matrices.

In conclusion we would like to point out some directions of future research.

First of all, it turns out that classical dynamical r-matrices mentioned above can be explicitly quantized. To obtain such a quantization has been an open problem, except for a few special cases, but we will present a complete solution of this problem in our next paper (joint with Travis Schedler).

In another paper we plan to generalize the results of the present paper to quantum groups, following the ideas of [EV3], where it was done for the case $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma_2 = \Gamma$ and $T = \mathrm{Id}$. This will give quantum KZB equations, which are difference equations involving quantum dynamical R-matrices which are quantizations of the classical dynamical r-matrices that appeared in this paper. In the case of the Belavin R-matrix, these equations are the elliptic qKZ equations for the 8-vertex model, which play an important role in statistical mechanics.

2 Classical dynamical r-matrices for Kac-Moody algebras

In [S] the second author associated a solution of the classical dynamical Yang-Baxter equation to every generalized Belavin-Drinfeld triple for simple Lie algebras. This construction easily extends to any Kac-Moody algebra. We recall this construction in this section.

Preliminaries. Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be a symmetrizable generalized Cartan matrix of size n and rank l. Let $(\mathfrak{h}, \Gamma, \check{\Gamma})$ be a realization of A, i.e $\mathfrak{h}$ is a complex vector space of dimension $2n - l$, $\Gamma = \{\alpha_1, \dots \alpha_n\} \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$ and $\check{\Gamma} = \{h_1, \dots h_n\} \subset \mathfrak{h}$ are linearly independent sets and $\langle \alpha_j, h_i \rangle = a_{ij}$. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+$ be the Kac-Moody algebra associated to A, i.e $\mathfrak{g}$ is generated by elements e_i, f_i, $i = 1, \dots n$ and $\mathfrak{h}$ with relations

$$[e_i, f_j] = \delta_{ij} h_i, \qquad [\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}] = 0, \qquad [h, e_i] = \langle \alpha_i, h \rangle e_i, \qquad [h, f_i] = -\langle \alpha_i, h \rangle f_i,$$

together with the Serre relations (see [K]). Set $\mathfrak{b}_\pm = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_\pm$. Let $\mathfrak{h}' = \bigoplus \mathbb{C} h_i$ and let $(,)$ be a nondegenerate invariant bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g}$. Recall that the restriction of $(,)$ to $\mathfrak{h}$ is nondegenerate (hence it defines a form on $\mathfrak{h}^*$, which we also denote by $(,)$), and that the kernel of the restriction of $(,)$ to $\mathfrak{h}'$ is equal to the center $\mathfrak{c}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $\Delta = \Delta^+ \cup \Delta^- \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$ be the root system of $\mathfrak{g}$ and let $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ denote the weight subspace corresponding to a root α. For each $\alpha \in \Delta^+$

fix bases $e_\alpha^{(1)}, \ldots e_\alpha^{(dim\ \mathfrak{g}_\alpha)}$ and $f_\alpha^{(1)}, \ldots f_\alpha^{(dim\ \mathfrak{g}_\alpha)}$ of $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$ respectively such that $(e_\alpha^{(i)}, f_\alpha^{(j)}) = \delta_{ij}$. The vector $[e_\alpha^{(i)}, f_\alpha^{(i)}]$ is independent of the choice of i and we set $h_\alpha = [e_\alpha^{(i)}, f_\alpha^{(i)}]$ for any $i = 1 \ldots \dim \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$. Let $\rho \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ be an element satisfying $(\rho, h_i) = 1$ for all i. Identifying $\mathfrak{h}$ with $\mathfrak{h}^*$ via the form $(\ ,\ )$, we can regard ρ as an element of $\mathfrak{h}$ (ρ is well-defined up to adding a central element).

Definition. A *generalized Belavin-Drinfeld triple* is a triple (Γ_1, Γ_2, T) where $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \subset \Gamma$ and $T : \Gamma_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma_2$ is a inner product preserving bijection.

Given a generalized Belavin-Drinfeld triple (Γ_1, Γ_2, T), we let Γ_3 be the subset of $\Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2$ consisting of roots which return to their original position after applying T several times. It is clear that $(\Gamma_1 \setminus \Gamma_3, \Gamma_2 \setminus \Gamma_3, T)$ is an ordinary Belavin-Drinfeld triple (i.e. T satisfies the nilpotency condition) and (Γ_3, Γ_3, T) is generalized Belavin-Drinfeld triple on which T is an automorphism of the Dynkin subdiagram Γ_3.

Set $\mathfrak{l} = \left(\sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma_1} \mathbb{C}(\alpha - T(\alpha))\right)^\perp \subset \mathfrak{h}$ and let $\mathfrak{h}_0 \subset \mathfrak{h}$ be the orthogonal complement of $\mathfrak{l}$ in $\mathfrak{h}$ with respect to the inner product on $\mathfrak{h}$.

Definition. We say that the generalized Belavin-Drinfeld triple is *nondegenerate* if the restriction of $(\ ,\ )$ to $\mathfrak{l}$ is nondegenerate.

In the nondegenerate case, we have $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_0$.

Note that every generalized Belavin-Drinfeld triple on a Dynkin diagram of finite type or a connected Dynkin diagram of affine type is nondegenerate. Indeed, in a finite type case, $\mathfrak{l}$ is a real subalgebra of $\mathfrak{h}$, and the form on the real part of $\mathfrak{h}$ is positive definite, so $\mathfrak{l}$ is nondegenerate. A similar argument works in the affine case. Nondegeneracy is also the case when $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma_2$, because in this case T gives rise to a finite order (hence semisimple) orthogonal automorphism of $\mathfrak{h}$, and $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{h}^T$.

Let (Γ_1, Γ_2, T) be a nondegenerate generalized Belavin-Drinfeld triple. It is convenient to choose an orthonormal basis $(x_j)_{j \in I}$ of $\mathfrak{h}$ with respect to $(\ ,\ )$ in such a way that

$$\mathfrak{l} = \bigoplus_{j \in I_1} \mathbb{C} x_j, \qquad \mathfrak{h}_0 = \bigoplus_{j \in I_2} \mathbb{C} x_j,$$

for suitable disjoint subsets $I_1, I_2 \subset I$ such that $I = I_1 \cup I_2$.

Let $\mathfrak{h}_i$ be the subspaces of $\mathfrak{h}$ spanned by $h_\alpha, \alpha \in \Gamma_i$.

The following Lemma is straightforward but important for the considerations below.

Lemma 2.1. *There exists a unique Lie algebra homomorphism* $B : \mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \mathfrak{h}_1 \to \mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \mathfrak{h}_2$ *(resp.* $B^{-1} : \mathfrak{n}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{h}_2 \to \mathfrak{n}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{h}_1$*) such that* $B(f_\alpha) = f_{T(\alpha)}$, $B(h_\alpha) = h_{T(\alpha)}$ *if* $\alpha \in \Gamma_1$, $B(f_\alpha) = 0$ *if* $\alpha \notin \Gamma_1$ *(resp.* $B^{-1}(e_\alpha) = e_{T^{-1}(\alpha)}$, $B^{-1}(h_\alpha) = h_{T^{-1}(\alpha)}$ *if* $\alpha \in \Gamma_2$, $B^{-1}(e_\alpha) = 0$ *if* $\alpha \notin \Gamma_2$*).*

We will extend the homomorphisms B, B^{-1} of Lie algebras to the corresponding homomorphisms of their universal enveloping algebras.

Let $\langle\Gamma_i\rangle$, $i \in \{1,2,3\}$ be the set of roots $\alpha \in \Delta^+$ which are linear combinations of simple roots from Γ_i. Let $\mathfrak{g}_{\Gamma_i}$ be the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ generated by $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$, $\alpha \in \Gamma_i$. The map B restricts to an automotphism of $\mathfrak{g}_{\Gamma_3}$. For each $\alpha \in \langle\Gamma_3\rangle$, let N_α be the order of the action of B on α, i.e $B^{N_\alpha}\alpha = \alpha$ but $B^r\alpha \neq \alpha$ for $1 \leq r < N_\alpha$. Finally, it will be convenient to assume that $f_\alpha^{(t)}$ and $e_\alpha^{(t)}$ are eigenvectors for B^{N_α}, and set $B^{N_\alpha} f_\alpha^{(t)} = \theta_\alpha^{(t)} f_\alpha^{(t)}$. Note that $B^{N_\alpha} e_\alpha^{(t)} = \theta_\alpha^{(t)-1} e_\alpha^{(t)}$.

Finally, let us define the Cayley transform of T on $\mathfrak{h}_0$. To do this, we need the following straightforward Lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *For any $x \in \mathfrak{h}_0$, there exists a unique $y \in \mathfrak{h}_0$ such that for all $\alpha \in \Gamma_1$ one has $(\alpha - T\alpha, y) = (\alpha + T\alpha, x)$.*

It is clear that y depends linearly on x. We will write $y = C_T x$. It is easy to check that the operator $C_T : \mathfrak{h}_0 \to \mathfrak{h}_0$ is skewsymmetric. It is called the Cayley transform of T.

Proposition 2.1 ([S]). *The function $r_T : \mathfrak{l}^* \to (\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{l}}$*

$$r_T(\lambda) = -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j\in I} x_j \otimes x_j + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i \in I_2} C_T x_i \otimes x_i - \sum_{\alpha,t} f_\alpha^{(t)} \otimes e_\alpha^{(t)} + \sum_{\alpha,t}\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} e^{-l(\alpha,\lambda)} e_\alpha^{(t)} \wedge B^l f_\alpha^{(t)}$$

is a solution of the classical dynamical Yang-Baxter equation

$$\sum_i \left(x_i^{(1)} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} r^{23}(\lambda) - x_i^{(2)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} r^{13}(\lambda) + x_i^{(3)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} r^{12}(\lambda) \right) + [r^{12}(\lambda), r^{13}(\lambda)] + [r^{13}(\lambda), r^{23}(\lambda)] + [r^{12}(\lambda), r^{23}(\lambda)] = 0.$$

Remarks. i) In the expression for $r_T(\lambda)$, the sum $\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} e^{-l(\alpha,\lambda)} e_\alpha^{(t)} \wedge B^l f_\alpha^{(t)}$ is finite if $\alpha \notin \langle\Gamma_3\rangle$ and is an infinite series convergent to a rational function of $e^{(\alpha,\lambda)}$ if $\alpha \in \langle\Gamma_3\rangle$.

Consider the special case when $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma_2 = \Gamma$, and T is an automorphism of the Dynkin diagram. Let N be the order of B (i.e. N is the smallest number divisible by all N_α such that $(\theta_\alpha^{(t)})^{N/N_\alpha} = 1$). In this case, the formula for $r_T(\lambda)$ can be written in the form

$$r_T(\lambda) = -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j\in I} x_j \otimes x_j + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i \in I_2} C_T x_i \otimes x_i - \sum_{\alpha,t} f_\alpha^{(t)} \otimes e_\alpha^{(t)} + \sum_{\alpha,t}\sum_{l=1}^{N} \frac{e^{-l(\alpha,\lambda)}}{1 - e^{-N(\alpha,\lambda)}} e_\alpha^{(t)} \wedge B^l f_\alpha^{(t)}.$$

Examples. i) When $T = 1$ one obtains Felder's trigonometric dynamical r-matrix

$$r(\lambda) = -\frac{\Omega}{2} + \sum_{\alpha>0,t} \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{cotanh}(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha,\lambda)) e_\alpha^{(t)} \wedge f_\alpha^{(t)},$$

where $\Omega = \sum_{\alpha,t}(e_\alpha^{(t)} \otimes f_\alpha^{(t)} + f_\alpha^{(t)} \otimes e_\alpha^{(t)}) + \sum_i x_i \otimes x_i$ is the Casimir element (see [EV1], Section 3).

ii) Consider $\mathfrak{g} = sl(3)$ and let T be the automorphism exchanging the two simple roots. Then $\mathfrak{l} = \mathbb{C}\rho$, so we can regard the element $\lambda \in \mathfrak{l}^*$ as a scalar. In this case, the dynamical r-matrix $r_T(\lambda)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} r_T(\lambda) = &-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i\in I} x_i \otimes x_i - \frac{e^{-2\lambda}}{1-e^{-2\lambda}}(e_{\alpha_1}\otimes f_{\alpha_1} + e_{\alpha_2}\otimes f_{\alpha_2}) - \frac{e^{-2\lambda}}{1+e^{-2\lambda}} e_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}\otimes f_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} \\ &- \frac{1}{1-e^{-2\lambda}}(f_{\alpha_1}\otimes e_{\alpha_1} + f_{\alpha_2}\otimes e_{\alpha_2}) - \frac{1}{1+e^{-2\lambda}} f_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}\otimes e_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2} \\ &+ \frac{e^{-\lambda}}{1-e^{-2\lambda}}(e_{\alpha_1}\wedge f_{\alpha_2} + e_{\alpha_2}\wedge f_{\alpha_1}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that in this example the eigenvalue $\theta^{(1)}_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}$ of B is -1, which is the reason for the appearance of denominators $1+e^{-2\lambda}$.

In the next section we give the representation-theoretic interpretation of the KZB equations associated to the dynamical r-matrix $r_T(\lambda)$.

3 Traces of intertwining operators

3.1. Traces. For any $\mathfrak{h}$-diagonalizable $\mathfrak{g}$-module V let $V[\lambda]$ denote the subspace of V of weight $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. Let M_λ be the Verma module of highest weight λ and let $v_\lambda \in M_\lambda[\lambda]$ be a highest weight vector. Let M_λ^* be the graded dual Verma module: $M_\lambda^* = \bigoplus_\mu M[\mu]^*$ as a vector space and the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ acts by

$$x.a(u) = -a(x.u) \qquad \forall\ x \in \mathfrak{g},\ u \in M_\lambda,\ a \in M_\lambda^*.$$

Let $v_\lambda^* \in M_\lambda^*[-\lambda]$ be the lowest weight vector satisfying $\langle v_\lambda^*, v_\lambda\rangle = 1$. Note that M_λ and M_λ^* are irreducible for generic values of λ.

Recall the definition of the quadratic Casimir operator C:

$$C = 2\rho + \sum_j x_j^2 + 2\sum_{\alpha\in\Delta^+}\sum_i f_\alpha^{(i)} e_\alpha^{(i)}.$$

The operator C acts on M_λ by multiplication by $\Delta_\lambda := (\lambda, \lambda+2\rho)$.

Intertwining operators. Let $\lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ and let V be a $\mathfrak{g}$-module from the category $\mathcal{O}$. We will consider compositions of intertwining operators of the form

$$\Phi :\ M_\lambda \to M_\mu \otimes V.$$

The following lemma is well known (a proof can be found e.g in [EV2], [EFK1]) :

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose that M_μ^* is irreducible. Then the map*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(M_\lambda, M_\mu\otimes V) \to V[\lambda-\mu],\ \Phi \mapsto \langle v_\mu^*, \Phi v_\lambda\rangle$$

is an isomorphism.

Given $v \in V[\lambda - \mu]$, and when M_μ^* is irreducible, we will denote by Φ_λ^v the unique intertwiner $M_\lambda \to M_\mu \otimes V$ satisfying $\langle v_\mu^*, \Phi v_\lambda \rangle = v$.

For any $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ and any $\mathfrak{h}$-semisimple $\mathfrak{g}$-module V we denote by $\lambda \in \mathrm{End}\,(V)$ the operator satisfying $\lambda_{|V[\nu]} = (\lambda, \nu)$.

Let $\mu, \mu' \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. Consider the linear operator $B : M_{\mu'} \to M_\mu$ defined by $B(xv_{\mu'}) = B(x)v_\mu$ for any $x \in U\mathfrak{n}_-$. The following lemma is straightforward.

Lemma 3.2. *Let μ, μ' be such that $(\mu', \alpha) = (\mu, T\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in \Gamma_1$. Then for every $x \in U(\mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \mathfrak{h}_1)$ we have $Bx = B(x)B$ and for every $x \in U(\mathfrak{n}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{h}_2)$ we have $xB = BB^{-1}(x)$.*

Let $V_1, V_2, \ldots V_r$ be $\mathfrak{g}$-modules from the category $\mathcal{O}$, $v_1 \in V_1, \ldots, v_r \in V_r$ homogeneous vectors of weights $\nu_1, \ldots \nu_r$ respectively. Set $\nu = \sum \nu_i$ and consider (for generic μ) the composition

$$\Phi^{v_1}_{\mu-\nu_2-\ldots-\nu_r} \ldots \Phi^{v_r}_\mu B e^\lambda : M_{\mu'} \to M_{\mu-\nu} \otimes V_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes V_r \tag{3.1}$$

where $\lambda \in \mathfrak{l}^*$, $\mu' \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, $(\mu', \alpha) = (\mu, T\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in \Gamma_1$ (we regard $\mathfrak{l}^*$ as a subspace of $\mathfrak{h}^*$ using the inner product).

If

$$\mu' = \mu - \nu \tag{3.2}$$

we can define

$$F^{v_1, \cdots, v_r}(\lambda, \mu) = \mathrm{Tr}\,(\Phi^{v_1}_{\mu-\nu_2-\ldots-\nu_r} \ldots \Phi^{v_r}_\mu B e^\lambda) : (\mathfrak{h}^*)^B \to V_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes V_r.$$

If $\nu \in \mathfrak{l}^\perp$, the space of solutions of (3.2) in μ is an $\mathfrak{l}^*$-principal homogeneous space. Note that it follows from the Kac-Kazhdan conditions (see [KK]) and from the fact that $\rho \in \mathfrak{l}$ that for any fixed $v_1, \ldots, v_r$ the composition (3.1) is defined for generic values of μ in any $\mathfrak{l}^*$-principal homogeneous space. In particular, $F^{v_1, \cdots, v_r}(\lambda, \mu)$ is a formal series in λ whose coefficients are trigonometric functions of μ with values in the space $(V_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes V_r)^{\mathfrak{l}}$.

Set

$$\delta_B(\lambda) = \left(\mathrm{Tr}_{|M_{-\rho}}(Be^\lambda)\right)^{-1}$$

(a twisted version of the Weyl denominator).

Lemma 3.3. *We have $\delta_B(\lambda) = e^{(\rho,\lambda)} \prod_{\overline{\alpha} \in \langle \Gamma_3 \rangle / B} \prod_t (1 - \theta_\alpha^{(t)} e^{-N_\alpha(\alpha,\lambda)})$.*

Proof. Identify $U\mathfrak{n}^-$ with $M_{-\rho}$ by $u \mapsto uv_{-\rho}$. Let $\mathbb{C} = U_0 \subset U_1 \subset \ldots$ denote the canonical filtration of $U\mathfrak{n}^-$. Since (U_n) is stable under Be^λ, we can replace $U\mathfrak{n}^-$ by its graded when computing $\mathrm{Tr}_{|U\mathfrak{n}^-}(Be^\lambda)$. By the PBW theorem, $\mathrm{Gr}\, U\mathfrak{n}^- = S\mathfrak{n}^-$. By definition B acts nilpotently on $f_\alpha^{(t)}$ unless $\alpha \in \langle \Gamma_3 \rangle$. Hence $\mathrm{Tr}_{|S\mathfrak{n}^+}(Be^\lambda) = \mathrm{Tr}_{|S\mathfrak{n}^-_{\Gamma_3}}(Be^\lambda)$ where $\mathfrak{n}^-_{\Gamma_3} = \mathfrak{n}^- \cap \mathfrak{g}_{\Gamma_3}$. The Lemma now follows from

$$S\mathfrak{n}^-_{\Gamma_3} = \bigotimes_{\overline{\alpha} \in \langle \Gamma_3 \rangle / B, t} S(\mathbb{C} f_\alpha^{(t)} \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathbb{C} B^{N_\alpha - 1} f_\alpha^{(t)})$$

and

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{|S(\mathbb{C}f_\alpha^{(t)}\oplus\ldots\oplus\mathbb{C}B^{N_\alpha-1}f_\alpha^{(t)})}(Be^\lambda)=\frac{1}{1-\theta_\alpha^{(t)}e^{-N_\alpha(\alpha,\lambda)}}.$$

■

We put $\mathbb{F}^{v_1,\cdots,v_r}(\lambda,\mu)=\delta_B(\lambda)F^{v_1,\cdots,v_r}(\lambda,\mu)$. This function is the main object of this paper.

3.2. The KZB equations for traces.

The following theorem is one of our main results.

Theorem 3.1. *The function* $\mathbb{F}^{v_1,\cdots,v_r}(\lambda,\mu)$ *satisfies the following system of differential equations, for* $i=1,\ldots r$*:*

$$\begin{aligned}\Big(\sum_{j\in I_1}x_{j|V_i}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}+\sum_{j>i}r_T(\lambda)_{|V_i\otimes V_j}-\sum_{j<i}r_T(\lambda)_{|V_j\otimes V_i}\Big)&\mathbb{F}^{v_1,\cdots,v_r}(\lambda,\mu)\\ =\frac{1}{2}\left(\Delta_{\mu-\nu_{i+1}-\ldots-\nu_r}-\Delta_{\mu-\nu_i-\ldots-\nu_r}\right)&\mathbb{F}^{v_1,\cdots,v_r}(\lambda,\mu)\end{aligned}\tag{3.3}$$

These equations are called the KZB equations (see [F]).

Proof. For simplicity of notation we will write $\mu_i=\mu-\nu_r-\ldots-\nu_{i+1}$. We compute the function

$$A(\lambda,\mu)=\mathrm{Tr}(\Phi^{v_1}_{\mu_1}\ldots\Phi^{v_{i-1}}_{\mu_{i-1}}(C_{|M_{\mu_{i-1}}}\Phi^{v_i}_{\mu_i}-\Phi^{v_i}_{\mu_i}C_{|M_{\mu_i}})\Phi^{v_{i+1}}_{\mu_{i+1}}\ldots\Phi^{v_r}_{\mu}Be^\lambda)$$

in two different ways. On one hand, we have

$$A(\lambda,\mu)=(\Delta_{\mu_{i-1}}-\Delta_{\mu_i})F^{v_1,\cdots,v_r}(\lambda,\mu).\tag{3.4}$$

On the other hand, using the relations

$$\begin{aligned}&(f_\alpha^{(t)}e_\alpha^{(t)})_{|M_{\mu_{i-1}}}\Phi^{v_i}_{\mu_i}-\Phi^{v_i}_{\mu_i}(f_\alpha^{(t)}e_\alpha^{(t)})_{|M_{\mu_i}}\\ &\qquad\qquad=-\big\{f^{(t)}_{\alpha|M_{\mu_{i-1}}}e^{(t)}_{\alpha|V_i}+e^{(t)}_{\alpha|M_{\mu_{i-1}}}f^{(t)}_{\alpha|V_i}+(f_\alpha^{(t)}e_\alpha^{(t)})_{|V_i}\big\}\Phi^{v_i}_{\mu_i},\end{aligned}$$

$$\rho_{|M_{\mu_{i-1}}}\Phi^{v_i}_{\mu_i}-\Phi^{v_i}_{\mu_i}\rho_{|M_{\mu_i}}=-\rho_{|V_i}\Phi^{v_i}_{\mu_i},$$

$$x^2_{j|M_{\mu_{i-1}}}\Phi^{v_i}_{\mu_i}-\Phi^{v_i}_{\mu_i}x^2_{j|M_{\mu_i}}=-\big\{x^2_{j|V_i}+2x_{j|M_{\mu_{i-1}}}x_{j|V_i}\big\}\Phi^{v_i}_{\mu_i},$$

we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}A(\lambda,\mu)=&\big\{-\sum_j x^2_{j|V_i}-2\sum_{\alpha,t}(f_\alpha^{(t)}e_\alpha^{(t)})_{|V_i}-2\rho_{|V_i}\big\}F^{v_1,\cdots,v_r}(\lambda,\mu)\\ &+A_1(\lambda,\mu)+A_2(\lambda,\mu)+A_3(\lambda,\mu)\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}A_1(\lambda,\mu)&=-2\sum_{j\in I}x_{j|V_i}\mathrm{Tr}(\Phi^{v_1}_{\mu_1}\ldots\Phi^{v_{i-1}}_{\mu_{i-1}}x_{j|M_{\mu_{i-1}}}\Phi^{v_i}_{\mu_i}\ldots\Phi^{v_r}_{\mu}Be^\lambda),\\ A_2(\lambda,\mu)&=-2\sum_{\alpha,t}e^{(t)}_{\alpha|V_i}\mathrm{Tr}(\Phi^{v_1}_{\mu_1}\ldots\Phi^{v_{i-1}}_{\mu_{i-1}}f^{(t)}_{\alpha|M_{\mu_{i-1}}}\Phi^{v_i}_{\mu_i}\ldots\Phi^{v_r}_{\mu}Be^\lambda),\\ A_3(\lambda,\mu)&=-2\sum_{\alpha,t}f^{(t)}_{\alpha|V_i}\mathrm{Tr}(\Phi^{v_1}_{\mu_1}\ldots\Phi^{v_{i-1}}_{\mu_{i-1}}e^{(t)}_{\alpha|M_{\mu_{i-1}}}\Phi^{v_i}_{\mu_i}\ldots\Phi^{v_r}_{\mu}Be^\lambda).\end{aligned}$$

Writing A_1 as a sum of two equal terms:

$$\begin{aligned}A_1(\lambda,\mu) = &-\sum_{j\in I} x_{j|V_i}\operatorname{Tr}(\Phi^{v_1}_{\mu_1}\dots\Phi^{v_{i-1}}_{\mu_{i-1}} x_{j|M_{\mu_{i-1}}}\Phi^{v_i}_{\mu_i}\dots\Phi^{v_r}_{\mu}Be^{\lambda})\\ &-\sum_{j\in I} x_{j|V_i}\operatorname{Tr}(\Phi^{v_1}_{\mu_1}\dots\Phi^{v_{i-1}}_{\mu_{i-1}} x_{j|M_{\mu_{i-1}}}\Phi^{v_i}_{\mu_i}\dots\Phi^{v_r}_{\mu}Be^{\lambda}),\end{aligned}$$

and using the intertwining properties $\Phi x_j = (x_j \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x_j)\Phi$ and $(1 \otimes \Phi x_j) = \Phi x_j - (x_j \otimes 1)\Phi$ repeatedly in the first and second term of $A_1(\lambda,\mu)$ respectively, we get

$$\begin{aligned}A_1(\lambda,\mu) = &-\sum_{j\in I} x_{j|V_i}\left(\sum_{t<i} x_{j|V_t} - \sum_{t\geq i} x_{j|V_t}\right) F^{v_1,\dots,v_r}(\lambda,\mu)\\ &-\sum_{j\in I} x_{j|V_i}\Big(\operatorname{Tr}(x_{j|M_{\mu_0}}\Phi^{v_1}_{\mu_1}\dots\Phi^{v_r}_{\mu}Be^{\lambda}) + \operatorname{Tr}(\Phi^{v_1}_{\mu_1}\dots\Phi^{v_r}_{\mu}x_{j|M_{\mu}}Be^{\lambda})\Big).\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Now there are two cases to consider, depending on whether $j \in I_1$ or $j \in I_2$. In the first case, by the cyclicity of the trace, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\operatorname{Tr}(x_{j|M_{\mu_0}}\Phi^{v_1}_{\mu_1}\dots\Phi^{v_r}_{\mu}Be^{\lambda}) + \operatorname{Tr}(\Phi^{v_1}_{\mu_1}\dots\Phi^{v_r}_{\mu}x_{j|M_{\mu}}Be^{\lambda})\\ &\qquad=\operatorname{Tr}(\Phi^{v_1}_{\mu_1}\dots\Phi^{v_r}_{\mu}(Bx_j + x_j)_{|M_{\mu}}Be^{\lambda}).\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Tr}(\Phi^{v_1}_{\mu_1}\dots\Phi^{v_r}_{\mu}(Bx_j + x_j)_{|M_{\mu}}Be^{\lambda}) =&2\operatorname{Tr}(\Phi^{v_1}_{\mu_1}\dots\Phi^{v_r}_{\mu}x_{j|M_{\mu}}Be^{\lambda})\\ =&2\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}F^{v_1,\dots,v_r}(\lambda,\mu)\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

where the differentiation is taken with respect to the parameter λ.

Let us now deal with the second case. It is easy to check using Lemma 3.2 that for any $x \in \mathfrak{l}^{\perp}$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}&\operatorname{Tr}(x_{|M_{\mu_0}}\Phi^{v_1}_{\mu_1}\dots\Phi^{v_r}_{\mu}Be^{\lambda}) + \operatorname{Tr}(\Phi^{v_1}_{\mu_1}\dots\Phi^{v_r}_{\mu}x_{|M_{\mu}}Be^{\lambda})\\ &\qquad= -\sum_i (C_T x)_i \operatorname{Tr}(\Phi^{v_1}_{\mu_1}\dots\Phi^{v_r}_{\mu}Be^{\lambda}).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}A_1(\lambda,\mu) =& \Bigg(-\sum_{t<i} x_{j|V_i}x_{j|V_t} + \sum_{t\geq i} x_{j|V_i}x_{j|V_t} - 2\sum_{j\in I_1} x_{j|V_i}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\\ &\qquad + \sum_{j\in I_2} x_{j|V_i}\sum_{l=1}^{r} C_T x_{j|V_l}\Bigg)F^{v_1,\dots,v_r}(\lambda,\mu)\\ =& \Bigg(-\sum_{t<i} x_{j|V_i}x_{j|V_t} + \sum_{t\geq i} x_{j|V_i}x_{j|V_t} - 2\sum_{j\in I_1} x_{j|V_i}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\\ &\qquad + \sum_{j\in I_2} x_{j|V_i}\sum_{l=1, l\neq i}^{r} C_T x_{j|V_l}\Bigg)F^{v_1,\dots,v_r}(\lambda,\mu)\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

where in the last equality we used the skew-symmetry of $\sum_j C_T x_j \otimes x_j$ to get rid of terms with $i = l$.

We now compute $A_2(\lambda,\mu)$. Using the intertwining property $\Phi f_\alpha = (1\otimes f_\alpha + f_\alpha \otimes 1)\Phi$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Tr}(\Phi^{v_1}_{\mu_1}\dots\Phi^{v_{i-1}}_{\mu_{i-1}} f^{(t)}_{\alpha|M_{\mu_{i-1}}}\dots\Phi^{v_r}_{\mu} B e^{\lambda}) \\
&\quad = \mathrm{Tr}(\Phi^{v_1}_{\mu_1}\dots f^{(t)}_{\alpha|M_{\mu_{i-2}}}\Phi^{v_{i-1}}_{\mu_{i-1}}\dots\Phi^{v_r}_{\mu} B e^{\lambda}) + f^{(t)}_{\alpha|V_{i-1}} F^{v_1,\dots,v_r}(\lambda,\mu) \\
&\quad = \mathrm{Tr}(f^{(t)}_{\alpha|M_{\mu_0}}\Phi^{v_1}_{\mu_1}\dots\Phi^{v_r}_{\mu} B e^{\lambda}) + \big(f^{(t)}_{\alpha|V_1}+\dots+f^{(t)}_{\alpha|V_{i-1}}\big) F^{v_1,\dots,v_r}(\lambda,\mu) \\
&\quad = e^{-(\alpha,\lambda)}\mathrm{Tr}(\Phi^{v_1}_{\mu_1}\dots\Phi^{v_r}_{\mu} B f^{(t)}_{\alpha|M_{\mu'}} e^{\lambda}) + \big(f^{(t)}_{\alpha|V_1}+\dots+f^{(t)}_{\alpha|V_{i-1}}\big) F^{v_1,\dots,v_r}(\lambda,\mu).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Hence by Lemma 3.2

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Tr}(\Phi^{v_1}_{\mu_1}\dots\Phi^{v_{i-1}}_{\mu_{i-1}} f^{(t)}_{\alpha|M_{\mu_{i-1}}}\dots\Phi^{v_r}_{\mu} B e^{\lambda}) \\
&\qquad = \Big(\sum_{j<i}\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} e^{-l(\alpha,\lambda)} B^l f^{(t)}_{\alpha|V_j} + \sum_{j\geq i}\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} e^{-l(\alpha,\lambda)} B^l f^{(t)}_{\alpha|V_j}\Big) F^{v_1,\dots,v_r}(\lambda,\mu).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

A similar computation (with e_α moving to the right) shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Tr}(\Phi^{v_1}_{\mu_1}\dots\Phi^{v_{i-1}}_{\mu_{i-1}} e^{(t)}_{\alpha|M_{\mu_{i-1}}}\dots\Phi^{v_r}_{\mu} B e^{\lambda}) \\
&\qquad = -\Big(\sum_{j<i}\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} e^{-l(\alpha,\lambda)} B^{-l} e^{(t)}_{\alpha|V_j} + \sum_{j\geq i}\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} e^{-l(\alpha,\lambda)} B^{-l} e^{(t)}_{\alpha|V_j}\Big) F^{v_1,\dots,v_r}(\lambda,\mu).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Adding (3.9) and (3.10) and using the relation

$$[e^{(t)}_\alpha, B^l f^{(t)}_\alpha] = \begin{cases} (\theta^{(t)}_\alpha)^{l/N_\alpha} h_\alpha & \text{if } \alpha\in\langle\Gamma_3\rangle \text{ and } N_\alpha | l, \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases} \tag{3.11}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&A_2(\lambda,\mu) + A_3(\lambda,\mu) - 2\sum_{\alpha,t}(f^{(t)}_\alpha e^{(t)}_\alpha)_{V_i} F^{v_1,\dots v_r}(\lambda,\mu) \\
&= -2\Big(\sum_{\alpha,t}\sum_{j<i} e^{(t)}_{\alpha|V_i}(T_1(\alpha))_{|V_j} + \sum_{\alpha,t}\sum_{j>i} e^{(t)}_{\alpha|V_i}(T_2(\alpha))_{|V_j}\Big) F^{v_1,\dots,v_r}(\lambda,\mu) \\
&\quad + 2\Big(\sum_{\alpha,t}\sum_{j<i} f^{(t)}_{\alpha|V_i}(T_3(\alpha))_{|V_j} F^{v_1,\dots,v_r}(\lambda,\mu) + \sum_{\alpha,t}\sum_{j>i} f^{(t)}_{\alpha|V_i}(T_4(\alpha))_{|V_j}\Big) F^{v_1,\dots,v_r}(\lambda,\mu) \\
&\quad - 2\sum_{\alpha\in\langle\Gamma_3\rangle,t} \frac{1}{1-\theta^{(t)}_\alpha e^{-N_\alpha(\alpha,\lambda)}} h_{\alpha|V_i} F^{v_1,\dots,v_r}(\lambda,\mu).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

where

$$T_1(\alpha) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} e^{-l(\alpha,\lambda)} B^l f^{(t)}_\alpha, \qquad T_2(\alpha) = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} e^{-l(\alpha,\lambda)} B^l f^{(t)}_\alpha,$$

$$T_3(\alpha) = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} e^{-l(\alpha,\lambda)} B^{-l} e^{(t)}_\alpha, \qquad T_4(\alpha) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} e^{-l(\alpha,\lambda)} B^{-l} e^{(t)}_\alpha.$$

Combining (3.4), (3.7) and (3.12) now gives the following relation for $F^{v_1,\cdots,v_r}(\lambda,\mu)$:

$$\Big(\sum_{j\in I_1}(x_j)_{|V_i}\otimes\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}+\rho_{|V_i}-K(\lambda)_{|V_i}+\sum_{j>i}r(\lambda)_{|V_i\otimes V_j}-\sum_{j<i}r(\lambda)_{|V_j\otimes V_i}\Big)F^{v_1,\cdots,v_r}(\lambda,\mu)$$
$$=\frac{1}{2}(\Delta_{\mu-\nu_{i+1}-\ldots-\nu_r}-\Delta_{\mu-\nu_i-\ldots-\nu_r})F^{v_1,\cdots,v_r}(\lambda,\mu)$$

where

$$K(\lambda)=\sum_{\alpha\in\langle\Gamma_3\rangle,t}\frac{1}{1-\theta_\alpha^{(t)}e^{-N_\alpha(\alpha,\lambda)}}h_\alpha.$$

A direct computation shows that

$$\sum_{j\in I_1}x_j\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\mathrm{Tr}_{|M_{-\rho}}(Be^\lambda)+(\rho+K(\lambda))\mathrm{Tr}_{|M_{-\rho}}(Be^\lambda)=0. \tag{3.13}$$

It is easy to deduce (3.3) from the above equations. ■

3.3. The second order equation for traces.

Theorem 3.2. *The function* $\mathbb{F}^{v_1,\cdots,v_r}(\lambda,\mu)$ *satisfies the following second order differential equation :*

$$\Big(\sum_{j\in I_1}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2}-\sum_{l,n=1}^{r}S_T(\lambda)_{|V_l\otimes V_n}\Big)=(\mu+\rho,\mu+\rho)\mathbb{F}^{v_1,\cdots,v_r}(\lambda,\mu) \tag{3.14}$$

where

$$S_T(\lambda)=\sum_{\alpha,t}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{v=1}^{\infty}e^{-(s+v)(\alpha,\lambda)}(B^sf_\alpha^{(t)}\otimes B^{-v}e_\alpha^{(t)}+B^{-v}e_\alpha^{(t)}\otimes B^sf_\alpha^{(t)})$$
$$-\sum_{j\in I_2}\frac{1-C_T}{2}x_j\otimes\frac{1-C_T}{2}x_j.$$

Proof. Consider

$$A'(\lambda,\mu)=\mathrm{Tr}(\Phi_{\mu_1}^{v_1}\ldots\Phi_{\mu}^{v_r}C_{|M_\mu}Be^\lambda).$$

On one hand, we have

$$A'(\lambda,\mu)=\Delta_\mu F^{v_1,\cdots,v_r}(\lambda,\mu),$$

and on the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}A'(\lambda,\mu)=&\mathrm{Tr}\Big(\Phi_{\mu_1}^{v_1}\ldots\Phi_{\mu}^{v_r}(\sum_{j\in I}x_j^2+2\rho+2\sum_{\alpha,t}f_\alpha^{(t)}e_\alpha^{(t)})_{|M_\mu}Be^\lambda\Big)\\=&A_1'(\lambda,\mu)+A_2'(\lambda,\mu)+A_3'(\lambda,\mu),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$A_1'(\lambda,\mu)=\sum_{j\in I}\mathrm{Tr}(\Phi_{\mu_1}^{v_1}\ldots\Phi_{\mu}^{v_r}x_{j|M_\mu}^2Be^\lambda),$$
$$A_2'(\lambda,\mu)=2\mathrm{Tr}(\Phi_{\mu_1}^{v_1}\ldots\Phi_{\mu}^{v_r}\rho_{|M_\mu}Be^\lambda)=2\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho}F^{v_1,\cdots,v_r}(\lambda,\mu),$$
$$A_3'(\lambda,\mu)=2\sum_{\alpha,t}\mathrm{Tr}(\Phi_{\mu_1}^{v_1}\ldots\Phi_{\mu}^{v_r}(f_\alpha^{(t)}e_\alpha^{(t)})_{|M_\mu}Be^\lambda),$$

where the differentiation in the second equation is taken with respect to λ. To compute $A'_1(\lambda,\mu)$, note that, as in (3.5), (3.6),

$$A'_1(\lambda,\mu) = \sum_{j\in I_1} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} F^{v_1,\cdots,v_r}(\lambda,\mu) + \sum_{j\in I_2} \mathrm{Tr}(\Phi^{v_1}_{\mu_{r-1}} \dots \Phi^{v_r}_{\mu} x^2_{j|M_\mu} B e^{\lambda}). \qquad (3.15)$$

The second term on the r.h.s of (3.15) can be evaluated by the same method as in the derivation of the KZB equations: for all $j \in I_2$ we have

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\Phi^{v_1}_{\mu_1} \dots \Phi^{v_r}_{\mu} x^2_{j|M_\mu} B e^{\lambda}) = \sum_{l,s} \frac{1-C_T}{2} x_{j|V_l} \frac{1-C_T}{2} x_{j|V_s} F^{v_1,\cdots,v_r}(\lambda,\mu). \qquad (3.16)$$

We now compute $A'_3(\lambda,\mu)$. We consider two cases
Case 1: $\alpha \notin \langle \Gamma_3 \rangle$. By the intertwining property and the cyclicity of the trace again, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{Tr}(\Phi^{v_1}_{\mu_1} \dots \Phi^{v_r}_{\mu} (f^{(t)}_\alpha e^{(t)}_\alpha)_{|M_\mu} B e^{\lambda}) \\ &\quad = \sum_{l=1}^{r} f_{\alpha|V_l} \mathrm{Tr}(\Phi^{v_1}_{\mu_1} \dots \Phi^{v_r}_{\mu} e^{(t)}_{\alpha|M_\mu} B e^{\lambda}) + e^{-(\alpha,\lambda)} \mathrm{Tr}(\Phi^{v_1}_{\mu_1} \dots \Phi^{v_r}_{\mu} (e^{(t)}_\alpha B(f^{(t)}_\alpha))_{|M_\mu} B e^{\lambda}).\end{aligned} \qquad (3.17)$$

Applying this equation repeatedly and using the relation (3.11), we obtain

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\Phi^{v_1}_{\mu_1} \dots \Phi^{v_r}_{\mu} (f^{(t)}_\alpha e^{(t)}_\alpha)_{|M_\mu} B e^{\lambda}) = \sum_{l=1}^{r} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} e^{-s(\alpha,\lambda)} B^s(f_\alpha)_{|V_l} \mathrm{Tr}(\Phi^{v_1}_{\mu_1} \dots \Phi^{v_r}_{\mu} e^{(t)}_{\alpha|M_\mu} B e^{\lambda}). \qquad (3.18)$$

Case 2: $\alpha \in \langle \Gamma_3 \rangle$. Applying (3.17) N_α times yields

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{Tr}(\Phi^{v_1}_{\mu_1} \dots \Phi^{v_r}_{\mu} (f^{(t)}_\alpha e^{(t)}_\alpha)_{|M_\mu} B e^{\lambda}) \\ &\qquad = \sum_{l=1}^{r} \sum_{s=0}^{N_\alpha-1} e^{-s(\alpha,\lambda)} B^s(f_\alpha)_{|V_l} \mathrm{Tr}(\Phi^{v_1}_{\mu_1} \dots \Phi^{v_r}_{\mu} e^{(t)}_{\alpha|M_\mu} B e^{\lambda}) \\ &\qquad\quad + e^{-N_\alpha(\alpha,\lambda)} \theta^{(t)}_\alpha \mathrm{Tr}(\Phi^{v_1}_{\mu_1} \dots \Phi^{v_r}_{\mu} h_{\alpha|M_\mu} B e^{\lambda}) \\ &\qquad\quad + e^{-N_\alpha(\alpha,\lambda)} \theta^{(t)}_\alpha \mathrm{Tr}(\Phi^{v_1}_{\mu_1} \dots \Phi^{v_r}_{\mu} (f^{(t)}_\alpha e^{(t)}_\alpha)_{|M_\mu} B e^{\lambda}),\end{aligned}$$

from which it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{Tr}(\Phi^{v_1}_{\mu_1} \dots \Phi^{v_r}_{\mu} (f^{(t)}_\alpha e^{(t)}_\alpha)_{|M_\mu} B e^{\lambda}) \\ &\quad = \frac{1}{1-\theta^{(t)}_\alpha e^{-N_\alpha(\alpha,\lambda)}} \Big\{ \sum_{l=1}^{r} \sum_{s=0}^{N_\alpha-1} e^{-s(\alpha,\lambda)} B^s(f_\alpha)_{|V_l} \mathrm{Tr}(\Phi^{v_1}_{\mu_1} \dots \Phi^{v_r}_{\mu} e^{(t)}_{\alpha|M_\mu} B e^{\lambda}) \\ &\qquad + \theta^{(t)}_\alpha e^{-N_\alpha(\alpha,\lambda)} \mathrm{Tr}(\Phi^{v_1}_{\mu_1} \dots \Phi^{v_r}_{\mu} h_{\alpha|M_\mu} B e^{\lambda}) \Big\}.\end{aligned} \qquad (3.19)$$

The formula for $A'_3(\lambda,\mu)$ now follows from (3.10). Equations (3.15),(3.16), (3.19) imply the following second-order differential equation for $F^{v_1,\cdots,v_r}(\lambda,\mu)$:

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j\in I_1} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} + H(\lambda) \Big) F^{v_1,\cdots,v_r}(\lambda,\mu) \\ &\qquad\qquad = \frac{1}{2} \Big(\Delta_\mu + \sum_{l,n=1}^{r} S_T(\lambda)_{V_l\otimes V_n} \Big) F^{v_1,\cdots,v_r}(\lambda,\mu)\end{aligned} \qquad (3.20)$$

where

$$H(\lambda)=\sum_{\alpha\in\langle\Gamma_3\rangle,t}\frac{1}{1-\theta_\alpha^{(t)}e^{-N_\alpha(\alpha,\lambda)}}\frac{\partial}{\partial h_\alpha}.$$

In particular,

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j\in I_1}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2}+\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho}+H(\lambda)\right)\mathrm{Tr}_{|M_{-\rho}}(Be^\lambda)=\frac{1}{2}\Delta_\rho\mathrm{Tr}_{|M_{-\rho}}(Be^\lambda)$$

which, together with (3.13) and (3.20) yields (3.14). ■

3.4. Diagonalization of the KZB and the second order operators.

Denote by $K_j(\lambda)$ the differential operators appearing on the left hand side of the KZB equations, and by $D(\lambda)$ the second order operator appearing in Theorem 3.2. These are operators on the space of functions of λ with values in $(V_1\otimes...\otimes V_r)^{\mathfrak{l}}$. It is known [F] that K_j commute with each other. Besides, it can be shown that the operators K_j commute with D (in fact, this is also clear from the discussion below). This gives rise to the problem of simultaneous diagonalization of these operators. More precisely, the problem can be formulated as follows.

Fix a weight $\nu\in\mathfrak{l}^\perp$. Fix a generic point $\xi\in\mathfrak{l}^*$, and consider the space of formal series

$$W_{\nu,\xi}:=e^{(\lambda,\xi+\rho)}(V_1\otimes...\otimes V_r)[\nu][[e^{-(\alpha_i,\lambda)}]].$$

It is clear that the operators K_j,D act naturally in this space and are upper triangular with respect to the natural ordering. The problem is to find a (topological) basis of $W_{\nu,\xi}$ in which these operators are diagonal.

The following proposition provides such a basis.

Let $\mathcal{B}_i$ be homogeneous bases of V_i, and $\mathcal{B}(\nu)$ be the set of collections $(v_1,...,v_r)$ of vectors $v_i\in\mathcal{B}_i$ such that the sum of their weights is ν.

Proposition 3.1. *For generic ξ, the functions $\mathbb{F}^{v_1,..,v_r}(\lambda,\frac{1+C_T}{2}\nu+\xi-\sum n_i\alpha_i)$, where $n_i\geq 0$, and $(v_1,...,v_r)$ run through $\mathcal{B}$, form a common topological eigenbasis of the operators K_j,D in the space $W_{\nu,\chi}$.*

This proposition follows immediately from the theorems of this section: the fact that the listed functions form a basis is obvious, so the only thing to be shown is that they are eigenfunctions, which was shown above.

3.5. Quantum integrable systems associated to generalized Belavin-Drinfeld triples for simple Lie algebras.

In the case when the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is finite dimensional, one can define other differential operators which commute with K_j,D.

Namely, if Z is any element of the center of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ then there exists a unique differential operator D_Z on $\mathfrak{l}^*$ with values in $\mathrm{End}((V_1\otimes...\otimes V_r)[\mathfrak{l}^\perp])$ such that

$$D_Z\mathbb{F}=\delta_B(\lambda)\mathrm{Tr}(\Phi_{\mu_1}^{v_1}\dots\Phi_\mu^{v_r}Z_{|M_\mu}Be^\lambda).$$

For example, $D_C=D-(\rho,\rho)$.

It is easy to see that $D_{Z_1Z_2}=D_{Z_1}D_{Z_2}$, so the operators D_Z form a commutative algebra. It is clear that these operators also diagonalize in the basis of the previous section. Thus, we get a "quantum integrable system", whose eigenstates are the functions $\mathbb{F}^{v_1,..,v_r}$.

In the special case $\Gamma_i = \Gamma$, $T = id$, this system is a generalized trigonometric Calogero-Moser system considered in [EFK2].

4 Classical dynamical r-matrices with spectral parameter

Applying the construction of Section 2 to an (untwisted) affine Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ and using the evaluation map $\mathrm{ev}_z : \ \hat{\mathfrak{g}} \to \mathfrak{g}$, one can obtain solutions of the classical dynamical Yang-Baxter equation with spectral parameter. This is done as follows.

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a simple complex Lie algebra, and let $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}c \oplus \mathbb{C}\partial$ be the associated affine Kac-Moody algebra, where c is the central element and ∂ is the grading element. The commutation relations in $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ are:

$$[c, \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}] = 0, \qquad [xt^n, yt^m] = [x, y]t^{n+m} + n\delta_{n,-m}c, \qquad [\partial, xt^n] = nxt^n \qquad \forall\, x, y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Recall that the Cartan subalgebra of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ is $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathbb{C}c \oplus \mathbb{C}\partial$. Then $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}^* = \mathfrak{h}^* \oplus \mathbb{C}\Lambda_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}\delta$ where Λ_0, δ are defined by $\langle \Lambda_0, \mathfrak{h}\rangle = \langle \Lambda_0, \partial\rangle = \langle \delta, \mathfrak{h}\rangle = \langle \delta, c\rangle = 0$ and $\langle \delta, \partial\rangle = \langle \Lambda_0, c\rangle = 1$. Under the standard bilinear form on $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$, c and ∂ are orthogonal to $\mathfrak{h}$ and we have $(c, \partial) = 1$, $(c, c) = (\partial, \partial) = 0$.

The root system of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ is $\tilde{\Delta} = (\Delta + \mathbb{Z}\delta) \cup \mathbb{Z}^*\delta$. The root subspace corresponding to $\alpha + k\delta$ is spanned by $e_\alpha t^k$ if $\alpha \in \Delta^+$, $f_{-\alpha}t^k$ if $\alpha \in -\Delta^+$ and equals $\mathfrak{h}t^k$ if $\alpha = 0$. The system of positive roots is $\tilde{\Delta}^+ = \Delta^+ \cup \mathbb{N}\delta \cup (\Delta + \mathbb{N}\delta)$.

We will consider a twisted version of the affine Lie algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. Let g be the dual Coxeter number of $\mathfrak{g}$ and set $\epsilon = e^{\frac{2i\pi}{g}}$. Consider the automorphism $\gamma = Ad(e^{2i\pi\rho/g})$ of $\mathfrak{g}$. We have $\gamma(e_\alpha) = \epsilon^{|\alpha|}e_\alpha, \gamma(f_\alpha) = \epsilon^{-|\alpha|}f_\alpha$, and $\gamma_{|\mathfrak{h}} = Id$. Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_\gamma$ be the subalgebra of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ consisting of all elements $a(t) + \lambda c + \mu\partial$ satisfying $a(\epsilon t) = \gamma(a(t))$. The elements $e_\alpha t^{|\alpha|+mg}$, $f_\alpha t^{-|\alpha|+mg}$, $x_i t^{mg}, c, \partial$ for $\alpha \in \Delta^+, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and (x_i) an orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{h}$, form a $\mathbb{C}$-basis of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_\gamma$. The proof of the following lemma is straightforward.

Lemma 4.1. *The map* $\phi : \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_\gamma \to \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ *defined by*

$$\phi(e_\alpha t^{|\alpha|+mg}) = e_\alpha t^m, \qquad \phi(f_\alpha t^{-|\alpha|+mg}) = f_\alpha t^m, \qquad \phi(\partial) = g\partial + \rho$$

$$\phi(x_i t^{mg}) = x_i t^m, \ \ (m \neq 0), \qquad \phi(x_i) = x_i - (\rho, x_i)\frac{c}{g}, \qquad \phi(c) = \frac{c}{g}$$

is a Lie algebra isomorphism.

Let $(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \tau)$ be a generalized Belavin-Drinfeld triple for $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_\gamma$. Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{l}}$ be the subalgebra of $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$ of elements x such that $(\alpha, x) = (T(\alpha), x)$ for $\alpha \in \Gamma_1$. Let $\mathfrak{l} = \tilde{l} \cap \mathfrak{h}$. It is clear that $\tilde{\mathfrak{l}}$ contains c and ∂, so $\tilde{\mathfrak{l}} = \mathbb{C}c \oplus \mathbb{C}\partial \oplus \mathfrak{l}$.

For any $z \in \mathbb{C}^*$ let $\mathrm{ev}_z : \mathfrak{g}[t,t^{-1}] \to \mathfrak{g}$ be the evaluation map defined by $\mathrm{ev}_z(xt^n) = z^n x$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Fix a complex number τ with positive imaginary part. Let $\tilde{\lambda} = \lambda + 2\pi i\tau\delta/g$. Define

$$\overline{r}_T(\lambda, z) = (\mathrm{ev}_z \otimes \mathrm{ev}_1)(\phi^{-1} \otimes \phi^{-1})(r_T(\tilde{\lambda})),$$

where $z \in \mathbb{C}^*$, $\lambda \in \mathfrak{l}^*$.

Remark. Although the evaluation maps are not defined on ∂, this definition makes sense, since ∂ occurs in r_T in a combination $c \otimes \partial + \partial \otimes c$, and $ev_z(c) = 0$ for any z.

It is clear that $\overline{r}_T$ is a Laurent series in z whose coefficients are meromorphic functions on $\mathfrak{l}^*$.

Proposition 4.1. *The series $\overline{r}_T(\lambda, z) : \mathfrak{l}^* \to (\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{l}}$ is convergent in a nonempty annulus, and extends to a meromorphic function on $\mathfrak{l}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$. Moreover, this meromorphic function satisfies the dynamical Yang-Baxter equation with spectral parameter:*

$$\sum_i x_i^{(1)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} r^{23}(\lambda, \frac{z_2}{z_3}) - x_i^{(2)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} r^{13}(\lambda, \frac{z_1}{z_3}) + x_i^{(3)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} r^{12}(\lambda, \frac{z_1}{z_2})$$
$$+ [r^{12}(\lambda, \frac{z_1}{z_2}), r^{13}(\lambda, \frac{z_1}{z_3})] + [r^{13}(\lambda, \frac{z_1}{z_3}), r^{23}(\lambda, \frac{z_2}{z_3})] + [r^{12}(\lambda, \frac{z_1}{z_2}), r^{23}(\lambda, \frac{z_2}{z_3})] = 0,$$

where x_i is an orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{h}$.

This proposition follows easily from Proposition 2.1.

Now we would like to compute $\overline{r}_T(\lambda, z)$ explicitly. For the sake of simplicity we will restrict ourselves to triples of the form $(\Gamma_1 = \Gamma_2 = \Gamma, T)$ (i.e T is an automorphism of the Dynkin diagram): these triples give rise to elliptic dynamical r-matrices.

Remark. More general triples give rise to partially trigonometric and partially elliptic r-matrices.

Let T be an automorphism of the Dynkin diagram Γ of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ of order N. As in Section 2, let B be the lift of T to an automorphism of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ of order N. By Lemma 4.1, B defines an automorphism of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_\gamma$. Note that the action of B on $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_\gamma$ preserves the principal gradation, i.e the exists a unique automorphism β of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that $B(xt^m) = \beta(x)t^m$ for any $xt^m \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_\gamma$. Furthermore, c and ∂ are B-invariant, and $\mathfrak{h}$ is B-stable. Like before, we will choose an orthonormal basis x_i of $\mathfrak{h}$ which is compatible with $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{h}^B$ and $\mathfrak{l}^\perp$.

Let

$$\theta(u, \tau) = -\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\pi i(j+\frac{1}{2})^2\tau + 2\pi i(j+\frac{1}{2})(u+\frac{1}{2})}$$

be the Jacobi theta function. For brevity we will not write the dependence on τ explicitly. Introduce the functions

$$\sigma_w(u) = \frac{\theta(w-u)\theta'(0)}{\theta(w)\theta(u)}, \qquad \chi(u) = \frac{\theta'(u)}{\theta(u)},$$

where θ' is the derivative of θ.

Let $z = e^{2\pi i u/g}$.

Proposition 4.2. *The function* $\overline{r}_T(\lambda, z) : \mathfrak{l}^* \times \mathbb{C}^* \to (\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{l}}$ *is equal to*

$$\overline{r}_T(\lambda, z) =$$
$$-\sum_{\alpha>0}\sum_{l=0}^{N-1} \frac{1}{2\pi i} e^{-l(\alpha,\tilde{\lambda})+2\pi i|\alpha|u/g} \sigma_{\frac{N}{2\pi i}(\alpha,\tilde{\lambda})}(u - l\tau|N\tau) e_\alpha \otimes \beta^l(f_\alpha)$$
$$-\sum_{\alpha>0}\sum_{l=0}^{N-1} \frac{1}{2\pi i} e^{l(\alpha,\tilde{\lambda})-2\pi i|\alpha|u/g} \sigma_{-\frac{N}{2\pi i}(\alpha,\tilde{\lambda})}(u - l\tau|N\tau) f_\alpha \otimes \beta^l(e_\alpha)$$
$$-\sum_{i\in I}\sum_{l=0}^{N-1} \left(\frac{1}{2}\delta_{l0} + \frac{1}{2\pi i}\chi(u - l\tau|N\tau)\right) x_i \otimes \beta^l x_i + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i\in I_2} \frac{\beta+1}{\beta-1} x_i \otimes x_i$$

(here α *runs through positive roots of* $\mathfrak{g}$*).*

The proof of this proposition is by a direct calculation.

Thus we see that the above construction gives a dynamical r-matrix with the number of dynamical parameters equal to $d-1$, where d is the number of orbits of T on the Dynkin diagram.

Examples. i) When $T = 1$, this yields (up to a gauge transformation) Felder's elliptic r-matrix, as shown in [EV1], Section 4.6.
ii) Let $\mathfrak{g} = sl(n)$ and let T be the rotation of the Dynkin diagram by $2\pi k/n$. Note that in this case (and in this case only) $d = 1$ and we obtain a non-dynamical r-matrix with spectral parameter. It is easy to check that it is equal to Belavin's classical elliptic r-matrix with modulus τ (see [BD]).

5 Twisted traces of intertwiners for affine Lie algebras

In this section, we apply the same procedure as in Section 3 in the case of an affine Lie algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$, but we consider finite-dimensional (evaluation) modules V_i rather than modules from the category $\mathcal{O}$. We keep the notations of Section 4.

The Lie algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_\gamma$ has a triangular decomposition $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_\gamma = \tilde{\mathfrak{n}}_\gamma^+ \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{h}} \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{n}}_\gamma^-$ where $\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}_\gamma^+$ (resp. $\tilde{\mathfrak{n}}_\gamma^-$) is the subalgebra spanned by $e_\alpha t^{|\alpha|+mg}$, $m \geq 0$, $f_\alpha t^{-|\alpha|+mg}$, $x_i t^{mg}$, $m > 0$ (resp. spanned by $f_\alpha t^{-|\alpha|+mg}$, $m \leq 0$, $e_\alpha t^{|\alpha|+mg}$, $x_i t^{mg}$, $m < 0$). This decomposition allows us to define highest weight Verma modules and dual Verma modules in the usual way. We put $\tilde{\mu} = \mu + \frac{k}{g}\Lambda_0 - \frac{g(\mu,\mu)}{2(k+g)}\delta$ and denote by $M_{\mu,k}$ the Verma module $M_{\tilde{\mu}}$.

Finally, we define evaluation representations of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_\gamma$. Let V be a finite-dimensional highest weight $\mathfrak{g}$-module with highest weight vector v_0. For any $\Delta \in \mathbb{C}$ let $z^{-\Delta}V[z, z^{-1}]$ denote the $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$-evaluation module, with $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$-action given by

$$xt^n.(vP(z)) = xvz^nP(z), \qquad c.(vP(z)) = 0, \qquad \partial.(vP(z)) = vz\frac{d}{dz}P(z)$$

for all $x \in \mathfrak{g},\ v \in V,\ P(z) \in z^{-\Delta}\mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}]$. Let $z^{-\Delta}V_\gamma[z, z^{-1}] \subset z^{-\Delta}V[z, z^{-1}]$ be the subspace of all (Laurent) polynomials $v(z)$ satisfying $v(\epsilon z) = e^{2i\pi\rho/g}v(z)$. Then $z^{-\Delta}V_\gamma[z, z^{-1}]$ is a $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_\gamma$-module (which is isomorphic to the usual $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$-evaluation module). From now on, we simply write $z^{-\Delta}V[z^{\pm 1}]$ for $z^{-\Delta}V_\gamma[z^{\pm 1}]$.

Proposition 3.1 admits an analogue in this situation (see e.g [EFK1],[E]) :

Proposition 5.1. *Let $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ and $k \in \mathbb{C}$ and let V be a finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{g}$-module. Suppose that $M^*_{\mu,k}$ is irreducible. Then for each $v \in V[\lambda - \mu]$ there exists a unique $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_\gamma$ intertwiner*

$$\tilde{\Phi}^v_{\mu,k}(z) : M_{\lambda,k} \to M_{\mu,k}\hat{\otimes} z^{-\Delta}V[z^{\pm}1]$$

where $\Delta = g\frac{(\lambda,\lambda)-(\mu,\mu)}{2(k+g)}$ such that

$$\langle v^*_{\mu,k}, \tilde{\Phi}^v_{\mu,k}(z)v_{\lambda,k}\rangle = z^{-\Delta}v.$$

Here $\hat{\otimes}$ denotes the completed tensor product.

Let $V_1, \ldots V_r$ be finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{g}$-modules, and let $v_1 \in V_1, \ldots v_r \in V_r$ be homogeneous vectors of weight $\nu_1, \ldots \nu_r$ respectively. Set $\nu = \sum \nu_i$, and assume $\nu \in \mathfrak{l}^\perp$. We will consider composition of intertwining operators :

$$\tilde{\Phi}^{v_1}_{\mu-\nu_2-\ldots-\nu_r,k}(z_1)\ldots\tilde{\Phi}^{v_r}_{\mu,k}(z_r)Be^\lambda :\ M_{\mu',k} \to M_{\mu-\nu,k}\otimes z_1^{-\Delta_1}V_1[z_1^{\pm 1}]\otimes\ldots\otimes z_r^{-\Delta_r}V_r[z_r^{\pm 1}]$$

where $\lambda \in (\mathfrak{h}^*)^B,\ \ \mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, where $(\tilde{\mu}', \alpha) = (\tilde{\mu}, T\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in \Gamma_1$, and $\Delta_i = g\frac{2(\nu_i,\mu-\nu_{i+1}-\ldots-\nu_r)-(\nu_i,\nu_i)}{2(k+g)}$. This composition lives in a certain completion (see [EFK1], §3) of the space

$$\mathrm{Hom}\big(M_{\mu',k}, M_{\mu-\nu,k}\otimes V_1\otimes\ldots\otimes V_r\big)\otimes z_1^{-\Delta_1}\ldots z_r^{-\Delta_r}\mathbb{C}[z_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, z_r^{\pm 1}].$$

Let us fix some $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$. For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ set $\tilde{\lambda} = \lambda + 2\pi i\tau\delta/g$. Consider the trace function

$$F_k^{v_1,\ldots v_r}(\lambda, \mu, \mathbf{z}) = \mathrm{Tr}\ (\tilde{\Phi}^{v_1}_{\mu-\nu_2-\ldots-\nu_r,k}(z_1)\ldots\tilde{\Phi}^{v_r}_{\mu,k}(z_r)Be^{\tilde{\lambda}})$$

where $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \ldots, z_r)$. Finally, let

$$\hat{\delta}_B(\lambda) = \big(\mathrm{Tr}_{|M_{0,k}}(Be^{\tilde{\lambda}})\big)^{-1}$$

and set

$$\mathbb{F}_k^{v_1,\ldots v_r}(\lambda, \mu, \mathbf{z}) = \hat{\delta}_B(\lambda)F_k(\lambda, \mu, \mathbf{z}).$$

Our main results in the affine case are

Theorem 5.1. *The function $\mathbb{F}_k^{v_1,\ldots v_r}(\lambda, \mu, \mathbf{z})$ satisfies the following system of differential equations for $i = 1, \ldots r$:*

$$\begin{aligned}-\frac{k+g}{g}z_i\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}\mathbb{F}_k^{v_1,\ldots v_r}(\lambda, \mu, \mathbf{z}) &= \sum_{j\in I_1} x_{j|V_i}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\mathbb{F}_k^{v_1,\ldots v_r}(\lambda, \mu, \mathbf{z})\\ &\quad + \big(\sum_{j>i}\overline{r}_T(\lambda, z_i/z_j)_{|V_i\otimes V_j} - \sum_{j<i}\overline{r}_T(\lambda, z_j/z_i)_{|V_j\otimes V_i}\big)\mathbb{F}_k^{v_1,\ldots v_r}(\lambda, \mu, \mathbf{z}).\end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

These equations are called the KZB equations (see [F]).

Theorem 5.2. *The function* $\mathbb{F}^{v_1,\cdots,v_r}(\lambda,\mu,\mathbf{z})$ *satisfies the following second order differential equation :*

$$-\frac{k+g}{\pi i}\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}\mathbb{F}_k(\lambda,\mu,\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{j\in I_1}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2}\mathbb{F}_k^{v_1,\dots v_r}(\lambda,\mu,\mathbf{z}) - \sum_{i,j=1}^{r}\overline{S}^{ij}(\lambda,z_i/z_j)\mathbb{F}_k^{v_1,\dots v_r}(\lambda,\mu,\mathbf{z}), \tag{5.2}$$

where

$$\overline{S}_T(\lambda,z) := (ev_z\otimes ev_1)(\phi^{-1}\otimes\phi^{-1})(S_T(\lambda)),$$

and $S_T(\lambda)$ *is the function defined in Theorem 3.2 (for* $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$*).*

The proofs of these theorems are completely parallel to the proofs of the theorems of Section 3.

Remark 1. If $\Gamma_1=\Gamma_2=\Gamma$ then $\overline{S}_T(\lambda,z)$ can be expressed in terms of elliptic functions.

Remark 2. In section 3 we saw that the differential operator $D=\Delta_{\mathfrak{l}}-S_T(\lambda)$ (where Δ_l is the Laplacian of $\mathfrak{l}$) on functions with values in the space of weight zero under $\mathfrak{l}$ in some representation of the Lie algebra can be included in a quantum integrable system. A similar statement holds for the operator $D_\tau=\Delta_{\mathfrak{l}}-\overline{S}_T(\lambda,1)$, whose coefficients are elliptic (if $\Gamma_i=\Gamma$). To obtain other operators commuting with D_τ, it is necessary to apply the construction of Section 3.5 to central elements of a completion of $U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$ at the critical level $k=-g$, as explained in [EFK2]. In the case $T=1$, this gives a generalization of the elliptic Calogero-Moser system (see [EFK2]).

Remark 3. Although we considered only untwisted affine algebras, the results can be easily generalized to the twisted case.

Acknowledgments. P.E. would like to thank IHES for hospitality. O.S. is grateful to the Harvard Mathematics Dept. for the kind invitation. The work of P.E was partially supported by the NSF grant 9700477, and was partly done when P.E. was employed by the Clay Mathematical Institute as a CMI prize fellow. P.E. is grateful to Travis Schedler for useful discussions.

References

[BD] Belavin A., Drinfeld V., *Triangle equations for simple Lie algebras*, Math. Phys. Review (ed. Novikov et al.), Harwood, New York (1984) 93-165.

[B] Bernard D., *On the Wess-Zumino-Witten model on the torus*, Nucl. Phys **B303** (1988), 77-93.

[E] Etingof P., *Representations of affine Lie algebras, elliptic r-matrix systems and special functions*, Comm. Math. Phys. **159** (1994) 471-502.

[EFK1] Etingof P., Frenkel I., Kirillov A. Jr, *Lectures on representation theory and Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, **58**, AMS, Providence, (1998).

[EFK2] Etingof P., Frenkel I., Kirillov Jr. A., *Spherical functions on Affine Lie groups*, Duke Math. J.**80**(1), p.59-90 (1995).

[EV1] Etingof P., Varchenko A., *Geometry and classification of solutions of the classical dynamical Yang-Baxter equation*, Comm. Math. Phys. **192** (1998), no. 1, 77-120.

[EV2] Etingof P., Varchenko A., *Exchange dynamical quantum groups*, preprint math.QA/9801135, to appear in Comm. Math. Phys.

[EV3] Etingof P., Varchenko A., *Traces of intertwiners for quantum groups and difference equations, I*, math.QA 9907181, submitted to Duke Math.J.

[F] Felder G., *Conformal field theory and integrable systems associated to elliptic curves*, proceedings of the ICM, Zurich 1994.

[K] Kac V, *Infinite dimensional Lie algebras*, Cambridge University Pres, (1990).

[KK] Kac V.G. , Kazhdan D., *Structure of representations with highest weight of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras*, Adv. in Math. **34** no. 1 (1979) 97-108.

[KT] Kuroki G., Takebe T., *Twisted Wess-Zumino-Witten models on elliptic curves*, Comm. Math. Phys. **190** (1997) 1-56.

[S] Schiffmann O., *On classification of dynamical r-matrices*, Math. Res. Letters, **5**, 13-30 (1998).